



Staff: DR.ANITHA C S

Subject: MAT201 - PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND COMPLEX ANALYSIS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 68** Overall Score: 91.99

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	49	72.06%	869.75
		Good	14.13	15	22.06%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	3	4.41%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.47%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	64.71%	638
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	20	29.41%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	3	4.41%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.47%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	45	66.18%	337.5
	3. reachers winnighess to flerp	Good	5.71	19	27.94%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	3	4.41%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	1.47%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	46	67.65%	269.1
		10 to 25	3.66	11	16.18%	40.26
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.41%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	11.76%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	58	85.29%	232
		Yes	0.67	7	10.29%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	4.41%	4.95
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	70.59%	444
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	26.47%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.47%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.47%	3.16
	2 51-6	Just Right	4.75	61	89.71%	289.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.41%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	5.88%	5.6
	2. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	57	83.82%	392.16
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	13.24%	35.01
		No	0.74	1	1.47%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.47%	1.78
	4 Debession of the toroites	Pleasant	6.75	63	92.65%	425.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.47%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	5.88%	7.76
	5.6' '4.64.4.1	Sincere	11.50	65	95.59%	747.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	2.94%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.47%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	49	72.06%	551.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	16	23.53%	144.48
		Fair	6.46	3	4.41%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
ı		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0
1		Can i suage	2.03	1 0	1 0 / 0	1 5

# **Strength and Weakness**

- good
- bbhjn

# **Special Remarks**

• revision class nadatho teacher ????????

- ..
- $\bullet$  gghu





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A

Staff: DR. SMITHA P S

**Subject: ECT201 - SOLID STATE DEVICES** Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 68** Overall Score: 87.65

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	42	61.76%	745.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	18	26.47%	254.34
		Fair	10.28	7	10.29%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.47%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	52.94%	522
		Good	11.81	19	27.94%	224.39
		Fair	8.11	10	14.71%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	2	2.94%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.47%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	41	60.29%	307.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	21	30.88%	119.91
		Fair	4.04	5	7.35%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.47%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	49	72.06%	286.65
		10 to 25	3.66	9	13.24%	32.94
		More than 25	1.91	5	7.35%	9.55
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	7.35%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	76.47%	208
		Yes	0.67	7	10.29%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	9	13.24%	14.85
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	38	55.88%	351.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	24	35.29%	152.16
		Inadequate	2.50	2	2.94%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	5.88%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	54	79.41%	256.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.41%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	11	16.18%	15.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	55	80.88%	378.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	13.24%	35.01
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	5.88%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	57	83.82%	384.75
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	7.35%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	8.82%	11.64
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	62	91.18%	713
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	7.35%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	1	1.47%	4.03
	6 Overall Teaching offsetives	Excellent	11.25	38	55.88%	427.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	20	29.41%	180.6
		Fair	6.46	7	10.29%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	4.41%	6.09

# **Strength and Weakness**

- hdhd

- jdnd





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A

Staff: SMT. SANDHYA L

**Subject: ect203 - LOGIC CIRCUIT DESIGN** Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 68** Overall Score: 89.98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1 -	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	50	73.53%	887.5
	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	14	20.59%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	2	2.94%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	2.94%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	48	70.59%	696
		Good	11.81	15	22.06%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	3	4.41%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	2.94%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	42	61.76%	315
	3. reactions withingness to freep	Good	5.71	17	25%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	3	4.41%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	1.47%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	5	7.35%	11.05
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	52	76.47%	304.2
		10 to 25	3.66	8	11.76%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.47%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	10.29%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	58	85.29%	232
		Yes	0.67	5	7.35%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	7.35%	8.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	64.71%	407
		Satisfactory	6.34	20	29.41%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	5.88%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	57	83.82%	270.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.47%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	14.71%	14
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	54	79.41%	371.52
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	11.76%	31.12
		No	0.74	1	1.47%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	7.35%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	53	77.94%	357.75
	i. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	8.82%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.47%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	8	11.76%	15.52
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	61	89.71%	701.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	8.82%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	1	1.47%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	44	64.71%	495
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	20	29.41%	180.6
		Fair	6.46	2	2.94%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	2.94%	4.06

# **Strength and Weakness**

- bsben

- kdkdd





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A

Staff: SMT. ASHA S

**Subject: ECT205 - NETWORK THEORY** Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 68** Overall Score: 92.63

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	52	76.47%	923
	1. Subject knowledge	Good	14.13	12	17.65%	169.5
		Fair	10.28	2	2.94%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	2.94%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	50	73.53%	725
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	19.12%	153.5
		Fair	8.11	3	d Opted % N 76.47% 17.65% 2.94% 19.12% 1.47% 10.29% 86.76% 2.94% 10.29% 86.76% 2.94% 10.29% 87.41% 17.65% 1.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 11.47% 10.29% 10.20% 10.20% 10	24.3
		Poor	2.01	0		0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	2.94%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	52	76.47%	390
	3. Teachers winningness to Help	Good	5.71	12	_	68.5
		Fair	4.04	2	2.94%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0		0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	_	4.42
	4.4	Less than 10	5.85	57		333.
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3		10.9
		More than 25	1.91	1		1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7		11.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	64		256
		Yes	0.67	1		0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	3		4.95
		Excellent	9.25	52		481
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	12		76.0
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3		9.48
		Just Right	4.75	59		280
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2		2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	7		9.8
		Yes	6.88	58		399
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7		27.2
		No	0.74	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3		5.34
		Pleasant	6.75	62	-	418
	4. Behavior of the teacher				_	_
		Indifferent	2.78	2		5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		_
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	-	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	62	_	713
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	_	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3		15.1
		Blank	4.03	1 51	_	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	51		573
		Good	9.03	13	-	117.
		Fair	6.46	2		12.9
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	2.94%	4.06

# **Strength and Weakness**

- uejeje

- ...djdjjd





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A

Staff: SMT. SUBHA V

**Subject : ECL203 - LOGIC DESIGN LAB** Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 68** Overall Score: 83.54

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	51.47%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	22	32.35%	310.86
		Fair	10.28	4	5.88%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	2	2.94%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	5	7.35%	40
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	51.47%	507.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	18	26.47%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	6	8.82%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	5	7.35%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	4	5.88%	16.36
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	52.94%	270
	3. Teachers willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	21	30.88%	119.91
		Fair	4.04	3	4.41%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	5	7.35%	5.65
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	4.41%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	49	72.06%	286.65
	4. Approximate /6 of classes (NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	8	11.76%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	6	8.82%	11.46
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	7.35%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	76.47%	208
		Yes	0.67	7	10.29%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	9	13.24%	14.85
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	57.35%	360.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	27.94%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	6	8.82%	15
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	5.88%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	52	76.47%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	5.88%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	12	17.65%	16.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	46	67.65%	316.48
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	16.18%	42.79
		No	0.74	4	5.88%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	7	10.29%	12.46
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	52	76.47%	351
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	8.82%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	4.41%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	10.29%	13.58
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	59	86.76%	678.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	2.94%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	8.82%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	1	1.47%	4.03
	6 Overall Tanking official	Excellent	11.25	39	57.35%	438.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	16	23.53%	144.48
		Fair	6.46	6	8.82%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	4	5.88%	6.24
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	4.41%	6.09

# **Strength and Weakness**

- bsbej

- nsjejd





Staff: RENJITH R J

**Subject : ECL203 - LOGIC DESIGN LAB** Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 67** Overall Score: 84.83

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	40	59.7%	710
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	15	22.39%	211.9
		Fair	10.28	7	10.45%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	1	1.49%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	4	5.97%	32
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	53.73%	522
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	19	28.36%	224.3
		Fair	8.11	6	8.96%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	2	2.99%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	4	5.97%	16.36
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	42	62.69%	315
	3. Teachers willingness to help	Good	5.71	16	23.88%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	4	5.97%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	1.49%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	4	5.97%	8.84
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	46	68.66%	269.1
		10 to 25	3.66	9	13.43%	32.94
		More than 25	1.91	4	5.97%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	11.94%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	55	82.09%	220
		Yes	0.67	5	7.46%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	10.45%	11.55
		Excellent	9.25	40	59.7%	370
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	17	25.37%	107.7
		Inadequate	2.50	3	4.48%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	7	10.45%	22.12
		Just Right	4.75	54	80.6%	256.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.48%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	14.93%	14
		Yes	6.88	43	64.18%	295.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	14	20.9%	54.46
		No	0.74	2	2.99%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	8	11.94%	14.24
		Pleasant	6.75	52	77.61%	351
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	8	11.94%	22.24
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.28	7	10.45%	13.58
		Sincere	11.50	56		+
	5. Sincerity of the teacher				83.58%	644
		Not Sincere	1.33	7	4.48%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	_	10.45%	35.42
		Blank	4.03	1 12	1.49%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	42	62.69%	472.5
		Good	9.03	11	16.42%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	6	8.96%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	1 -	1.49%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	7	10.45%	14.21

### Strength and Weakness

- ..
- nsns

- ...
- nsksk





Staff: SMT. PREETHA V H

**Subject: ECL201 - SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING LABORATORY** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 67** Overall Score: 90.31

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	68.66%	816.5
	1. Subject ishowledge	Good	14.13	16	23.88%	226.0
		Fair	10.28	2	2.99%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	4.48%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	65.67%	638
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	17	25.37%	200.7
		Fair	8.11	3	oted   Opted %   N   6   68.66%   6   23.88%   2.99%   0%   4.48%   4   65.67%   7   25.37%   4.48%   4   65.67%   7   25.37%   4.48%   0%   4.48%   0%   4.48%   0%   4.48%   0%   4.48%   0%   4.48%   0%   4.48%   0%   7.46%   2.99%   8.96%   7   85.07%   8.96%   5.97%   7   70.15%   5   22.39%   1.49%   5.97%   9   88.06%   2.99%   8.96%   0   74.63%   3   19.4%   0%   5.97%   9   88.06%   5.97%   0%   5.99	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0		0
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	4.48%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	44	65.67%	330
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	17	25.37%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	3	4.48%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	3		6.63
	A Approximate % of classes NOT anguged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	54	80.6%	315.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5		18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2		3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	_	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	57		228
		Yes	0.67	6		4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	4		6.6
2	177 1 177 1	Excellent	9.25	47		434.7
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	15	_	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4		12.64
		Just Right	4.75	59		280.2
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	4.48% 0% 4.48% 80.6% 7.46% 2.99% 8.96% 85.07% 70.15% 22.39% 1.49% 5.97% 88.06% 2.99% 8.96% 74.63% 19.4% 0% 5.97% 88.06% 5.97% 0%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	6		8.4
		Yes	6.88	50		344
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	13		50.57
		No	0.74	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.78	4		7.12
		Pleasant	6.75	59		398.2
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4		11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.28	4		7.76
		Sincere	11.50	61		701.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2		2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	2		8.06
						528.7
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	47		
		Good	9.03	15		135.4
		Fair	6.46	1		6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	h and Waaknass	Can't Judge	2.03	4	5.97%	8.12

### Strength and Weakness

- ...
- hsshd

- ...
- nansns







**Staff: SMT. JISU ELSA JACOB** 

**Subject : ECL201 - SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING LABORATORY** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 67** Overall Score: 91.68

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	51	76.12%	905.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	19.4%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	2	2.99%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.49%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	46	68.66%	667
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	22.39%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	4	5.97%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	1.49%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.49%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	49	73.13%	367.5
	3. reachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	14	20.9%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	2	2.99%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	2.99%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	53	79.1%	310.05
		10 to 25	3.66	6	8.96%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.48%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	7.46%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	59	88.06%	236
		Yes	0.67	5	7.46%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	4.48%	4.95
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	71.64%	444
		Satisfactory	6.34	16	23.88%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.49%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	2.99%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	58	86.57%	275.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.48%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	8.96%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	54	80.6%	371.52
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	16.42%	42.79
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	2.99%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	60	89.55%	405
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	5.97%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.49%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	2.99%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	62	92.54%	713
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	4.48%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.49%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.49%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	47	70.15%	528.75
	o. Overall Teaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	14	20.9%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	4	5.97%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	2.99%	4.06

# **Strength and Weakness**

- hshsh
- hshsh

# **Special Remarks**

• ..

- hsjsj
- hsjsj





Overall Score: 90.72

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A

## Staff: PROF.KUMARI THULASI R

**Subject: HUT200 - PROFESSIONAL ETHICS** Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 67** 

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	68.66%	816.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	16	23.88%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	1	1.49%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.49%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	4.48%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	48	71.64%	696
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	20.9%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	1	1.49%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	1.49%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	4.48%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	46	68.66%	345
	3. reachers winnighess to flerp	Good	5.71	16	23.88%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	1	1.49%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	1.49%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	4.48%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	55	82.09%	321.75
		10 to 25	3.66	4	5.97%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.48%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	7.46%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	54	80.6%	216
		Yes	0.67	8	11.94%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	7.46%	8.25
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	71.64%	444
		Satisfactory	6.34	14	20.9%	88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.49%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	5.97%	12.64
	2 Speed of aggregatation	Just Right	4.75	60	89.55%	285
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.48%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	5.97%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	54	80.6%	371.52
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	10.45%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	1.49%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	7.46%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	61	91.04%	411.75
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	2.99%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	5.97%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	62	92.54%	713
	5. Sincerty of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.49%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	2.99%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	2.99%	8.06
	( O	Excellent	11.25	49	73.13%	551.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	16.42%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	3	4.48%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	1.49%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	4.48%	6.09

# **Strength and Weakness**

- ...
- hshdh

- nfnf



### **Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering**

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



## Staff: SRI. SAJITHSETHU P

**Subject : MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 68** Overall Score: 94.56

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	57	83.82%	1011.7
	1. Subject Tillo wieuge	Good	14.13	10	14.71%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.47%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	55	80.88%	797.5
	2. Charty and Charlestanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	13.24%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	3	4.41%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.47%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	54	79.41%	405
	3. Teachers Winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	12	17.65%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	1	1.47%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.47%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	57	83.82%	333.45
		10 to 25	3.66	4	5.88%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	10.29%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	59	86.76%	236
		Yes	0.67	4	5.88%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	7.35%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	56	82.35%	518
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	13.24%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	4.41%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	62	91.18%	294.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	4.41%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	4.41%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	58	85.29%	399.04
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	10.29%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	4.41%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	66	97.06%	445.5
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.47%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.47%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	66	97.06%	759
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.47%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	1.47%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	54	79.41%	607.5
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	16.18%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	1	1.47%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	2.94%	4.06

### Strength and Weakness

- ....
- hshs

- ....jdjd